

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
mandate to their
stations to air an
anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

I was taught that
political
information, given
free of charge on
the public airwaves,
had to offer both
sides of the story.

Isn't Sinclair
breaking the law???

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. But when
large companies
control the
airwaves, we get
more of what's good
for the bottom line
and less of what we
need for our
democracy. Instead
of something
produced at "News
Central" far away,
it's more important
that we see real
people from our own
communities and more
substantive news
about issues that
matter.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard. Thank you.